IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR BILAL NAZKI,

THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT APPEAL NO : 233 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 31/12/2004 in WPMP.29423/2000 AND WVMP.NO.3675/2004 IN WP NO : 22424 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1 Marati Isthari, s/o Marati Balaiah (Late)

r/o Avusulonipally Village, Vargal Mandal, Medak

District.
2 Gurrala Yadaiah, s/o Yellaiah,

r/o D.NO.7-24/1, Avusulonipally Village, Vargal

Mandal, Medak District.
3 Gurra Rajaiah, s/o Peddulu,

r/o Avusulonipally Village, Vargal Mandal, Medak

District.
4 Mote Mallaiah, s/o Narsaiah,

r/o Avusulonipally Village, Vargal Mandal, Medak

District.
5 Chakala Bala Kistaiah, s/o Mallaiah,

r/o Avusulonipally Village, Vargal Mandal, Medak

District.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

1 The Land Reforms-I, Medak District at Sangareddy.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Siddipet, Medak

District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Wargal Mandal,

Medak District.
4 R.Prameela Devi, w/o Raghupathy Reddy,
5 P.Amala Reddy, w/o P.Sathender Reddy,
6 B.Uttara Reddy, w/o Ramesh Reddy,
7 G.Preetha Reddy, w/o Dr.G.Naresh reddy,
(Respondents 4 to 7 herein are r/o H.No.1-10-

60/62, Begumpet, Hyderabad.)
8 M/s.Effen India Private Ltd., rep., by its Managing

Director, Office at 3rd Floor, Gandharva

Mahavidyalaya, 212, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Marg,

New Delhi-110 002.

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant:MR.C.DAMODHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

JUDGMENT (Per Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice)

-

-

Heard the learned counsel for the appellants.

2 . This appeal is filed against an order of learned single Judge vacating the order of status quo passed earlier.

3 . The learned single Judge found that there was a suit pending between the parties and there was proceeding pending before the 1st respondent as well. In these circumstances, the learned single Judge was right in not continuing the order of status quo passed earlier.

4. The writ appeal is misconceived and is dismissed. No costs.

BILAL NAZKI, HACJ

GOPALAKRISHNA TAMADA,J

AVS

DATE:15/04/2005

.....REGISTRAR

To

1. The Land Reforms-I, Medak District at Sangareddy.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Siddipet, Medak

District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Wargal Mandal,

Medak District.
4 R.Prameela Devi, w/o Raghupathy Reddy,
5 P.Amala Reddy, w/o P.Sathender Reddy,
6 B.Uttara Reddy, w/o Ramesh Reddy,
7 G.Preetha Reddy, w/o Dr.G.Naresh reddy,
(Respondents 4 to 7 herein are r/o H.No.1-10-

60/62, Begumpet, Hyderabad.)
8 M/s.Effen India Private Ltd., rep., by its Managing

Director, Office at 3rd Floor, Gandharva

Mahavidyalaya, 212, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Marg,

New Delhi-110 002.

9. 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Building, Hyderabad.

10. 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WA {**RS**}*